

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,515	10/26/2001	Donald H. Lindsey III	82520DAN	8321
7590 06/04/2004			EXAMINER	
Milton S. Sales			HUYNH, LOUIS K	
Patent Legal Sta	aff			
Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
343 State Street			3721	
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/016,515	LINDSEY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Louis K. Huynh	3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
• •	LIC SET TO EVDIDE 2 MONT!	U(S) EDOM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ma	av 2004.						
	action is non-final.						
,							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-43</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-43</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
<u> </u>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 2002</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ation No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been recei	ived in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not recei-	ved.					
		·					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	• •					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa	Date Il Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 19, 2003 has been entered.

Status of the Claims

2. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-11 and 44 in the Response to Restriction Requirement filed May 24, 2003.

Claims 5, 6 and 44 have been cancelled by the Amendment filed May 19, 2004.

Claims 12-43 stand withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to nonelected inventions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beggiao (US 6,499,892) in view of Van de Ven et al. (US 5,414,974).

Beggiao discloses a method for assembling a photofinishing order from a customer including the steps of: associating a customer order identification with the

Art Unit: 3721

photofinishing order using a pallet (510) (column 13, line 64-column 14, line 2); automatically dispensing at least one first component (cut prints) onto a first conveyors (640) to form an order group based on the customer order identification via processing information of the photofinishing order on the first conveyor; conveying the order group to a second conveyor (500) and depositing the group of prints onto the pallet (510) on the second conveyor (500) (column 14, lines 5-10); automatically dispensing an additional item such as CDs, advertisement materials, index prints, etc. from a dispenser (645) onto the pallet (510) on the second conveyor (500) (column 15, lines 9-11) to create an assembled product group; and conveying the assembled product group to an automatic packaging machine (column 14, lines 10-16). The method of Beggiao meets all of applicant's claimed subject matter but lacks the specific teaching of shrink wrapping the assembled product group.

However, Van de Ven discloses a method of assembling a group of printed documents wherein the assembled group of documents is shrink wrapped through a heat tunnel (30) in order to maintain the group of documents in an integral bundle or package.

It would have been obvious to a person with an ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the method of Beggiao by having provided a step of shrink wrapping through a heat tunnel as the packaging step, as taught by Van de Ven, in order to maintain the assembled product group in an integral bundle prior to shipping to a photo shop or to the customer.

With respect to claim 7, Beggiao discloses an embodiment of Figure 4 wherein a plurality of the first type of component such as wallet, negative film web, cut prints, etc. being dispensed at different points along a conveyor (550) to form an assembled product

Art Unit: 3721

group prior to transfer the assembled product group to a second conveyor for further processing. It would have been obvious to an ordinary skilled person in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the method of Beggiao by having provided a plurality of dispensers along the first conveyor, as taught by Beggiao, in order to form an assembled product group prior to transfer the assembled product group to a second conveyor for further processing.

With respect to claims 8-10, Beggiao discloses an embodiment of Figure 4 wherein a plurality of the first type of component such as wallet, negative film web including APS negative package since APS is widely used at the time of the invention, cut prints, etc. being dispensed onto a first conveyor (550) but does not disclose the exact order of the dispensed items; however, the order in which the first type of component are dispensed is obvious to an ordinary skilled person in the as a matter of engineering choice since it does not solve any stated problem insofar as the record is concerned and thus does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention over the applied prior art. The method of Beggiao meets all of applicant's claimed subject matter but lacks the specific teaching of a step of banding the cut prints; however, banding the cut prints is well known in the art as a common knowledge in order to keep the individual prints together and to reinforce the prints themselves; therefore, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skilled person in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the method of Beggiao by having provided the step of banding the cut prints in order to keep the individual prints together and to reinforce the prints themselves from being damaged during the handling process.

Art Unit: 3721

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed May 19, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to claim 1, applicant contends that Van de Ven does not teach shrink wrapping in the environment of the present invention where the multiple types of photofinishing products including photographic products are shrink wrapped to form an assembled product group. This is not found persuasive because Van de Ven teaches a method of shrink wrapping a group of printed products to form a bundle or package of printed products. Technology has allowed photographic products to be digitally processed and printed; thus, photographic products are also considered to be printed products. Therefore, the shrink wrapping method of Van de Ven is considered to be in the same environment of packaging photographic products.

Conclusion

- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis K. Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 306-5694. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:30AM to 5:00PM.
- 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on (703) 308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,515 Page 6

Art Unit: 3721

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Louis K. Huynh
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3721

June 02, 2004